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Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Requirements</th>
<th>NCLB</th>
<th>TRYM</th>
<th>Res gain VAM</th>
<th>MGM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Database of matched student records over time (Stdnt ID)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common scale</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision and accuracy evaluated</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes students with missing scores</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected by cohort stability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handles non-linear growth</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes results from alternate tests (different scales)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student performance standards in definition of growth</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The primary growth question answered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NCLB + Status Improvement</th>
<th>Transition Matrix</th>
<th>Residual Gain Scores and Value Added Models</th>
<th>Multilevel Growth Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Did this year’s students meet AYP?</td>
<td>Are students in a group making adequate progress across performance levels?</td>
<td>How much residual change was produced by a group?</td>
<td>What is the school growth rate?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cornerstone Study

• 1. What is the natural developmental progress in achievement for students with disabilities?
• 2. What models best characterize achievement growth for students with disabilities who are participating in general achievement tests?
• 3. How do various growth models represent school effects for students with and without disabilities, and how do results compare to those derived from status models now in use?
• 4. What are the reliability and validity of estimates of school effectiveness for students with disabilities produced by alternative growth models and how are these estimates influenced by contextual differences among schools and students?

NC Statewide Achievement Test (Grades 3-8: Reading & Math) – Years 1-2, 5

Multi-State Extension Study

• 1. What is the natural developmental progress in achievement for students with disabilities?
• 2. What models best characterize achievement growth for students with disabilities who are participating in general achievement tests?
• 3. How do various growth models represent school effects for students with and without disabilities, and how do results compare to those derived from status models now in use?
• 4. What are the reliability and validity of estimates of school effectiveness for students with disabilities produced by alternative growth models and how are these estimates influenced by contextual differences among schools and students?

AZ • OR • PA Statewide Achievement Tests (Grades 3-8: Reading and Math) – Years 2 & 5
Interim Assessments Study

• 1. What is the natural developmental progress in achievement for students with disabilities?
• 5. How do results from different types of interim assessments of students' achievement meaningfully contribute to a model of academic growth for students with disabilities?

NWEA MAP in reading and mathematics Grades 1 to 10 – Years 1 and 2

easyCBM measures in reading and mathematics Grades 1 to 8 – Years 1 and 2

Multiple Measures Validation Study

• 5. How do results from different types of interim assessments of students’ achievement meaningfully contribute to a model of academic growth for students with disabilities?
• 6. How can information about opportunity to learn and achievement growth be used to enhance academic outcomes for students with disabilities?

Myilogs OTL
Interim Assessments [CBM + Multiple Choice Tests]
AZ • OR • PA Summative Assessments (Grades 3-5: Reading & Math) – Years 2 through 4
Alternate Assessments Study

1. What is the natural developmental progress in achievement for students with disabilities?
2. What models best characterize achievement growth for students who are participating in alternate assessments?

AZ • NC • OR • PA Alternate Assessment (Grades 3-8 for Reading & Math) – Years 3 through 5

Conclusions and Next Steps

Review Process and Outcomes (End of Day Topics)

• Purpose of research and type of analyses (not to criticize state partners and with opportunity to vet posted products)
• Description of security and access (servers and file transport)
• Description of data sets (steps to acquisition and file cleaning)
• NC – Done
• OR – Done
• AZ
• PA

Assignments for Partners Beyond Data Transfer

• Questions within state partners and from NCAASE researchers
• Notes from the day putting people with projects and deliverables (next steps with specific tasks)

Preview Seminal Events

• NCME presentation – *Rsch and Dev on Assess-Account for SPED*: April 15 (4:05-6:00) Hyatt Regency-Balmoral
• AERA Advisory Board meeting: April 15 (6:30-9:30) Fairmont Waterfront: Sechelt Room
• CCSSO presentation – *A Summary of Critical Issues in Growth Models for a Fully Inclusive Assessment System*: June 27 (3:30-5:30)